

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Norfolk Vanguard](#)
Subject: Norfolk Vanguard Project - EN010079
Date: 05 February 2019 19:37:09

Dear Planning Inspectorate,

Today (05 Feb) was the *Issue Specific Hearing on onshore environmental matters*, during the hearing one of the agenda items did not discuss the matters raised within my and other interested parties representations.

Representations were made highlighting the environmental impacts of not considering alternative onshore connection points (OCP) however this point was not discussed in the agenda item '*Project design and alternative*', nor was it discussed in any other part of the hearing.

Within Vatenfall's Environmental Statement (Chapter 4 - Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives, Volume 1, Section 4.8 Identification of National Grid Connection Point, Point 44) it talks of the assessment made between Nation Grid and Norfolk Vanguard to determine the connection point. It states:

'The process facilitated an appraisal of appropriate connection options and from this identified a short list of preferred onshore connection points. Norfolk Vanguard Ltd and National Grid considered the possible connection points from an economic and strategic perspective'.

Vatenfall and National Grid have wrongly assessed the Connection point from a commercial and strategic perspective, rather than incorporating any environmental factors into the decision making process. Vatenfall should be asked to provide evidence of that decision making process, and if it does not consider the environmental impacts, Vatenfall should be asked to reevaluate the Nation Grid options again incorporating environmental considerations.

Please can this be raised to Vatenfall?

Regards,

Paul Haddow

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
